Regulation of meristem organization and cell division by *TSO1*, an *Arabidopsis* gene with cysteine-rich repeats

Jai-Young Song[‡], Terri Leung[‡], Linda K. Ehler, Chunxin Wang and Zhongchi Liu^{*}

Department of Cell Biology and Molecular Genetics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA *Author for correspondence (e-mail: ZL17@umail.umd.edu) *These authors contributed equally to the work

Accepted 28 February; published on WWW 18 April 2000

SUMMARY

In higher plants, meristem organization and cell division regulation are two fundamentally important and intimately related biological processes. Identifying and isolating regulatory genes in these processes is essential for understanding higher plant growth and development. We describe the molecular isolation and analyses of an *Arabidopsis* gene, *TSO1*, which regulates both of these processes. We previously showed that *tso1* mutants displayed defects in cell division of floral meristem cells including partially formed cell walls, increased DNA content, and multinucleated cells (Liu, Z., Running, M. P. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1997). *Development* 124, 665-672). Here, we characterize a second defect of *tso1* in inflorescence meristem development and show that the

INTRODUCTION

The shoot apical meristem (SAM) is the source of all aerial parts of plants. In Arabidopsis, a self-perpetuating stem cell system in the SAM is responsible for the repetitive formation of leaves and secondary shoots during vegetative development, and the same system is responsible for the repetitive generation of flowers during later reproductive development. This later SAM that develops flowers instead of shoots is termed 'inflorescence meristem' (IM), in which flowers are initiated at the periphery in a spiral pattern (Fig, 1A,B). A meristem (whether SAM or IM) can be divided into three zones: the central zone at the apex of the meristem where cell division is infrequent, the peripheral zone that surrounds the central zone where cell divisions are relatively rapid, and the rib meristem (or rib zone) beneath the central zone, where divisions are also rapid (Fig. 1C; Steeves and Sussex, 1989; Meyerowitz, 1997; Clark, 1997). The central zone harbors the stem cells that divide slowly to generate daughter cells to the surrounding peripheral zone, where these daughter cells are incorporated into differentiating leaf, shoot or flower primordia.

The Arabidopsis CLAVATA genes CLV1, CLV2 and CLV3 regulate a critical aspect of SAM development. Loss-of-function *clv* mutations cause progressive SAM enlargement

enlarged inflorescence in *tso1* mutants results from repeated division of one inflorescence meristem into two or more inflorescence meristems. Using a map-based approach, we isolated the *TSO1* gene and found that *TSO1* encodes a protein with cysteine-rich repeats bearing similarity to *Drosophila Enhancer of zeste* and its plant homologs. In situ *TSO1* mRNA expression pattern and the nuclear localization of TSO1-GFP are consistent with a regulatory role of *TSO1* in floral meristem cell division and in inflorescence meristem organization.

Key words: *TSO1*, Meristem organization, Cell division, Cysteinerich, TCR motif, *Arabidopsis thaliana*

due to accumulation of undifferentiated cells in the central zones (Clark et al., 1993, 1995; Kayes and Clark, 1998; Fletcher et al., 1999). Thus, CLV genes either function to repress cell division in the central zone or to promote the transition of cells from an undifferentiated state toward organ formation and differentiation. CLV1 encodes a putative receptor kinase, while CLV3 encodes the putative ligand for the CLV1 receptor (Clark et al., 1997; Fletcher et al., 1999). CLV2, also a receptor-like protein, functions in the same pathway as CLV1 and CLV3 in regulating meristem development (Kayes and Clark, 1998; Jeong et al., 1999). SHOOT MERISTEMLESS (STM) from Arabidopsis encodes a homolog of maize homeobox gene KNOTTED1 (KN1) (Vollbrecht et al., 1991; Long et al., 1996) and acts to oppose the function of CLV (Clark et al., 1996; Endrizzi et al., 1996). These and other molecular genetic studies are beginning to reveal the molecular mechanism for SAM formation and maintenance.

Another important aspect of meristem development is the regulation and execution of basic cell division processes. Since plant cells are surrounded by a rigid wall, the development and maintenance of the meristem requires regulated cell divisions with respect to the rate and orientation of division. For example, meristems in most flowering plants can be divided into three layers, L1, L2 and L3 (Fig. 1C; Poethig, 1989; Kerstetter and

Hake, 1997; Meyerowitz, 1997). Cells in the L1 and L2 divide anticlinally (with the new division plane perpendicular to the surface) and cells in L3 divide in all directions. Cells in the L1 layer give rise to epidermis, the L2 layer to subdermal tissues, and the L3 layer to core tissues. Thus, cell division regulation plays an important role in maintaining meristem cell layers and subsequently cell fate determination. In Arabidopsis, mutations that affect basic processes of cell division have been identified. Mutations in KNOLLE (KN) (Lukowitz et al., 1996), KEULE (KEU) (Jurgens et al., 1994; Assaad et al., 1996), CYT1 (Nickle and Meinke, 1998), and GNOM/EMB30 (Mayer et al., 1991; Shevell et al., 1994) all affect basic cell division processes and exhibit embryonic lethality. kn. keu and cvt1 mutant cells exhibit incomplete cytokinesis with crossed walls (or wall stubs) and multinucleated cells. KN encodes a protein with similarity to syntaxin, suggesting that KN may be involved in the transport of vesicles for cell plate formation (Lukowitz et al., 1996). GNOM/EMB30 encodes a protein with similarity to the yeast SEC 7 gene in the secretary pathway for vesicle budding (Shevell et al., 1994). Thus the genetic identification and subsequent isolation of these Arabidopsis genes are beginning to shed light on the plant cell division mechanism and the developmental consequences.

Here, we report the molecular analysis of another Arabidopsis gene TSO1 that plays an important role in both meristem organization and basic cell division processes. Previously, our analyses of tsol mutants were focused on the cell division defects (Liu et al., 1997). In cells of tso1 mutant flowers, nuclei were of irregular size and shape and possessed increased DNA content. In addition, these cells frequently exhibited partially formed cell walls, highly invaginated nuclear membranes, and multinucleated cells. Furthermore, the L1 and L2 cell layers were not well maintained. Nevertheless, the cell division defects were only observed in cells of the floral meristem and floral organs (Fig. 1E; Liu et al., 1997). A second and less understood developmental defect is the frequent fasciation in the inflorescence meristems of tso1-1 mutants, resulting in thick and flat stems and compound inflorescences (Fig. 1E). This defect is further analyzed in this study. Finally, two types of *tsol* alleles have been isolated that exhibit superficially very different phenotypes. While both tsol-1 and tso1-2 display strong phenotypes described above, a third allele, tso1-3, exhibits defects only in ovules (Hauser et al., 1998). The integument cells of the tso1-3 mutant ovules have abnormal shape and alignment, and the embryo sac is absent due to an inability to form the megaspore mother cells. The flowers of tso1-3 are normal with only slightly ragged shape at the tip of sepals and petals (Hauser et al., 1998; Fig. 1D). Nevertheless, the *tso1-3* phenotype results from a defect in the same gene as tso1-1 (Hauser et al., 1998).

To understand the molecular basis of the various defects of *tso1* mutants, we used a map-based approach to isolate the *TSO1* gene. We report that *TSO1* encodes a novel protein with conserved cysteine (cys)-rich repeats. *TSO1* mRNA is expressed in the floral meristem and floral organs during active cell division. TSO1-GFP chimeric proteins are localized to the nucleus. Based on the molecular analyses of the *TSO1* gene and additional phenotypic characterization of the inflorescence meristem defects in *tso1* mutants, we propose a model that explains the role of *TSO1* in regulating meristem development and floral cell division.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant growth, mutant strains and microscopy

Plant growth conditions were described previously (Liu and Meyerowitz, 1995). The *tso1-1* and *tso1-2* mutations were isolated in two different EMS mutagenesis screens and were described by Liu et al. (1997) and Levin et al. (1998) respectively. *tso1-3* is a gift from Drs B. Hauser and C. Gasser (Hauser et al., 1998). All three alleles were generated in the Landsberg *erecta* (Ler) ecotype.

For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), inflorescences were fixed, coated, dissected and photographed as described previously (Bowman et al., 1989, 1991). Images were directly captured with the semicaps software and the AMRAY 1000A scanning electron microscope. The 4', 6'diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining and light microscopy of tissue sections were based on the method of Friedman (1991).

Map-based cloning

TSO1 was first mapped to chromosome 3 between molecular markers *nga162* (Bell and Ecker, 1994) and *SUPERMAN* (*SUP*; Sakai et al., 1995) at 16 and 6 map units respectively. Using these two markers, additional recombinants between Ler and Columbia (Col) ecotypes were identified by screening 219 individual F₃ *tso1-1* mutants. Analyses of these recombinants further mapped *TSO1* between markers PAP606 and T27F6R. This region is covered by the YAC CIC7B12 and two overlapping BACs T27F6 and T18B19 (Fig. 2). Cosmid libraries were constructed from the BAC T27F6 and YAC CIC7B12 respectively using the binary vector pCLD04541. The procedure is essentially the same as described by Bent et al. (1994). Cosmid clones were isolated using T27F6, mi268, PAP606 and 27F6R as probes. Restriction mapping and Southern analyses enabled the construction of a cosmid contig covering the entire 80 kb region of the BAC T27F6 (Fig. 2).

Because tsol-1/tsol-1 mutants are completely sterile, sup-5, a weak and fertile sup allele (Gaiser et al., 1995) is used to balance the tsol-1 mutation. tso1-1+/+sup-5 trans-heterozygous plants were infected with Agrobacterium strain GV3101 carrying corresponding cosmids. Seven overlapping cosmids were transformed into tsol-l+/+sup-5 trans-heterozygous plants using the vacuum-infiltration method (Bechtold et al., 1993). Primary transgenic plants were selected from independent transformations. If a cosmid contained the TSO1 gene, the number of tso1-1 mutants in primary transgenic plants would be greatly reduced (much lower than the 25%). Two overlapping cosmids: #56 and #8 fulfilled such a criterion. Only 3.8% (1/26; #56) and 1.9% (3/156; #8) of the primary transgenic plants exhibited a tsol-1 phenotype. The progeny of the #56 primary transformants were analyzed further by PCR. Co-segregation of cosmid #56 with the suppressed phenotype is 100% (24/24). Cosmid #36 overlaps with cosmid #56 and #8 and partially rescued the tso1-1 phenotype (Figs 1H, 2).

Isolation and analyses of the TSO1 transcript

Approximately 1 million plaques of an *Arabidopsis* flower cDNA library (a gift from Dr Detlef Weigel) were screened. Five *TSO1* cDNA clones were isolated. For northern analyses, $poly(A)^+$ RNA was isolated from respective tissues using the FastTrack 2.0 RNA isolation system (Invitrogen). Rosette leaves, cauline leaves and stems were harvested from the same mature flowering plants. Seedlings were harvested at approximately 7 days after germination when they had developed two to four leaves. Roots were cut off from 2-week old seedlings that were growing vertically in a petri dish. Floral tissues were isolated from inflorescences that contain flowers of all stages. 3-4 μ g poly(A)⁺ RNA was loaded per lane and fractionated in a 0.9% agarose gel according to Sambrook et al. (1989). Northern filters were probed with a gene-specific *TSO1* probe derived from a *Xho*I fragment (592-1028 bp of *TSO1* cDNA; Fig. 3). The 18S RNA probe was derived from an *Arabidopsis* EST 246D24T7. The actin probe is a 1.6

kb actin cDNA from *Brassica oleracea* (Stein et al., 1991). All hybridizations were carried out in $6 \times$ SSPE, $5 \times$ Denhardt's solution and 0.5% SDS at 65° C. The final washes of the membrane were at 65° C in 0.2× SSPE and 0.1% SDS.

A 0.4 kb DNA fragment corresponding to 424-824 bp of the *TSO1* cDNA (Fig. 3) was cloned into the pSK vector (Stratagene) and served as a gene-specific probe for *in situ* hybridization. To generate a *TSO1* antisense RNA probe, the plasmid was linearized with *Eco*RI and used as a template for in vitro transcription in the presence of T7 RNA polymerase (Epicentre) and digoxigenin (DIG)-UTP (Boehringer Mannheim). Tissue fixation and hybridization procedures were described previously (Liu and Meyerowitz, 1995).

5'RACE was conducted using the 5'Race kit version 2.0 (GIBCO-BRL). Three nested primers from the 5' gene-specific region of *TSO1* cDNA were used: 5'-CACTGATGTTACTGTCCTTTGC-3', 5'-TTGTAGGAAGCACAGCCAAAGG-3', and 5'-CCAAGCATCTAA-AAGCCTCAGA-3'. Two prominent bands of size 820 bp and 320 bp were produced after 5' RACE PCR. Both bands were purified and then sequenced (ABI DNA sequencer 373A).

GFP-TSO1 chimeric gene construction and transient expression

Full length TSO1 cDNA was PCR-amplified with primers 5' AGCCATGGACAAATCCCAGAAGA 3' and 5' TCCATGGGG-TTGAGAGAAGGAAAT 3' using the ExpandTM High Fidelity PCR System (Boehringer Mannheim) and a full length TSO1 cDNA clone as the template. The amplified fragment was cloned into the NcoI restriction site of the vectors pAVA120 (von Arnim et al., 1998). The resulting plasmid construct, verified by sequence analyses, carries an in-frame fusion of full length TSO1 to the NH₂ terminus of GFP. In a second construct, a partial TSO1 cDNA from 638 bp to the stop codon (Fig. 3) was PCR-amplified with primers 5' AGATCT-CAGAATATGCTTGTTGTTC 3' and 5' AGATCTGCTAATAGG-ATCTGGAACA 3'. The amplified fragment was cloned into pCR2.1 (TA cloning kit; Invitrogen) and sequenced. The BglII fragment of the pCR2.1-partial TSO1 was inserted into the pAVA120 vector (von Arnim et al., 1998), resulting an in-frame fusion of TSO1 to the COOH terminus of GFP. Transient expression assays in onion epidermal cells were carried out using a Biolistic Particle Delivery System (Bio-Rad) according to the method of Sanford et al. (1993). Typically, 2-5 µg of either pAVA120 or pAVA120-TSO1 plasmid was used. The tissues were visualized under a Nikon Labophot-2 microscope equipped with a FITC filter. Images were obtained with a 20× objective and a Nikon 2000 35 mm camera.

RESULTS

tso1 fasciation results from bifurcation or multiple splitting of inflorescence meristems

In vegetative stage, the SAMs of strong tsol-1 and tsol-2 mutants are slightly abnormal. The tsol mutant SAM develops, on average, 6 secondary shoots (i.e. axillary shoots) (n=28), while wild-type SAM develops 3-4 secondary shoots. In addition, tsol plants appear bushier and form higher order axillary shoots (from second to sixth order), probably due to a slight loss of apical dominance.

When the strong *tsol* plants enter reproductive phase, almost all of their inflorescence meristems develop thick stems and compound inflorescences with many more flowers (Fig. 1E). This fasciation results from extensive bifurcation, or multiple splitting of the inflorescence meristems (Fig. 1E-G). Each inflorescence is first split into two, three or more inflorescences, which are then split into two or more secondary inflorescences. Thus, in contrast to *clv* mutants,



Fig. 1. Wild-type and *tsol* inflorescences. (A) A wild-type inflorescence. (B) A scanning electron microscopic (SEM) image of a wild-type inflorescence. Floral meristems (FM) are being generated from the inflorescence meristem (IM) in a spiral pattern. (C) A diagram of a wild-type inflorescence meristem showing the central zone (CZ; blue), peripheral zone (PZ; red) and rib zone (RZ). L1 and L2 cell layers are also indicated. (D) A tsol-3 inflorescence. Flowers are similar to wild-type with the exception of rough petal edges (arrow) and sepal tips (Hauser et al., 1998). (E) A tsol-1 inflorescence. Extensive fasciation results in an enlarged inflorescence and the formation of many more abnormal flowers. Each flower only develops abnormal sepals (Liu et al., 1997). (F) A SEM image of a tso1-1 mutant shoot apex. Three inflorescence meristems are formed on the same shoot apex; each is indicated by an asterisk. (G) A 1µm thin longitudinal section of a tso1-1 inflorescence shoot. Tissues were stained with the DNA staining dve DAPI. Three inflorescences are each indicated by an asterisk. All of them are derived from a single inflorescence. (H) An inflorescence of a second generation tsol-l transgenic plant containing cosmid #36. All four types of floral organs were present, but sepals and petals exhibited whitish edges, and the flowers were sterile. Bar in B and F, 20 µm.

fasciation in strong *tso1* mutants is not caused by a single enlarged inflorescence meristem, but rather by the formation of multiple inflorescence meristems. Moreover, *tso1* fasciation appears to be largely specific to the inflorescence meristem, when the SAM starts to develop flowers instead of shoots.

Finally, floral meristems are formed at the periphery of the inflorescence meristem (Fig. 1B) and give rise to four types of floral organs in four concentric whorls. Unlike inflorescence meristems, floral meristems in strong *tsol* mutants do not exhibit any splitting from one floral meristem into multiple

floral meristems. However, the number of sepals are frequently increased from 4 in wild-type to 5 or 6 in the *tsol-1* mutants (Liu et al., 1997).

Positional cloning of TSO1

We isolated the TSO1 gene using a map-based approach. TSO1 was first mapped to chromosome 3 between two molecular markers, nga162 and SUPERMAN (SUP) (Liu et al., 1997). nga162 and SUP were used to screen a mapping population for recombinants. Analyses of these recombinants subsequently narrowed TSO1 to a single yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) that was also covered by two overlapping bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) (Fig. 2). Cosmid libraries were constructed from the YAC and the BAC (T27F6), and a cosmid contig was constructed covering an 80 kb region (Fig. 2). Cosmids in the contig were tested for their ability to rescue the tsol-1 mutant. We found that two overlapping cosmids #56 and #8 completely rescued the tsol-1 mutants, while another overlapping cosmid #36 partially rescued the tsol-1 mutant (Fig. 1H, 2; Materials and Methods). The TSO1 gene therefore resides in the overlapping region of these three cosmids.

TSO1 encodes a protein with cysteine-rich repeats

cDNA clones were isolated from an *Arabidopsis* flower cDNA library using cosmid #56 as a probe. These cDNA clones define three different genes, one of which is located in the overlapping region of cosmid #36, #8 and #56 (Fig. 2). Sequence analyses of this cDNA revealed at least two motifs: a nuclear localization signal and two cysteine-rich (cys-rich) repeats (Fig. 3). Sequence analyses of genomic DNA in this gene revealed single base substitutions in each of the three *tsol*

alleles (Fig. 3). tso1-1 contains a G to A transition that results in a cysteine to tyrosine substitution in the second cys-rich repeat (Fig. 3). Similarly, tso1-2 causes a G to A transition that results in a different cysteine to tyrosine substitution in the first cys-rich repeat (Fig. 3). tso1-3, a weak tso1 allele, contains a nonsense mutation; a C to T change results in an early termination of translation and deletes one of the two cys-rich repeats. It is unclear why removal of one of the two cys-rich motifs in tso1-3 is less detrimental than a single cysteine substitution in the cys-rich region in tso1-1 or tso1-2. Nevertheless, both the cosmid rescue and the identification of mutations in all *tso1* alleles lead us to conclude that we have isolated the TSO1 gene.

Database searches reveal a class of plant genes that possesses similar cys-rich repeats as TSO1 (Fig. 4A,B). For convenience, we designate this cys-rich repeat motif as 'TSO1 Cysteine-Rich' motif or TCR motif. All genes in this class possess two copies of the TCR motif (Fig. 4A,B) and a 54 amino acid intervening region between the two TCR motifs. This class of genes includes TSO1 and several Arabidopsis genes with unknown function. They are TSO1-like (Z97337), two hypothetical proteins (AC009465 and AC006081), and a 'Putative Transcription Factor' (PTF) (AL078470). Among them the TSO1-like and the hypothetical protein AC009465 are similar to TSO1 throughout the entire coding region with 47% and 28% identity respectively. However, in the TCR repeat 1 of these two genes, the first 13 amino acid are not similar to TSO1 (Fig. 4A). The other member of this class is a soybean gene encoding the *Cysteine-rich Polycomb-like Protein 1* (*CPP1*; AJ010165). CPP1 is a regulator of the *LEGHEMOGLOBIN* gene expression (E. Ø. Jensen, personal communication) and exhibits a high level of sequence similarity (56% identity) to TSO1 in the TCR repeats and the intervening region between the two repeats. However, the similarity between CPP1 and TSO1 is significantly reduced outside this region.

The Drosophila Enhancer of zeste (E(z)) and its plant homologs are members of a second class of genes that share sequence similarity with TSO1 (Fig. 4C). Drosophila E(z) is a member of the polycomb group proteins (Jones and Gelbart, 1990); polycomb group proteins ensure the stable inheritance of expression patterns through cell division and regulate the control of cell proliferation (Pirrotta, 1998). At the COOH terminus, E(z) contains a SET domain, named after the three founding fathers of the family in Drosophila (Jenuwein et al., 1998). Unique to E(z) is the presence of a CXC domain, a cysrich region (aa. 538-603) preceding the SET domain. Only a portion of this CXC domain (aa. 573-603) is similar to the TCR motif (Fig. 4C). This portion of the CXC domain of several Arabidopsis E(z) homologs is similar to the TCR motif (Fig. 4C). These Arabidopsis E(z) homologs include CLF-Like (AF001308), EZA1 (AF100163), Polycomb-Group (POLYC-G; Y10580), CURLY LEAF (CLF; Goodrich et al., 1997), and MEDEA (MEA, Grossniklaus et al., 1998; Kiyosue et al., 1999). Of these genes, the functions of CLF and MEA are



Fig. 2. Physical map of the *TSO1* region. *TSO1* maps to chromosome 3 between *nga162* and *SUP* at 16 and 6 map units respectively. The number of recombinants indicates the relative distance between *TSO1* and the corresponding molecular markers, PAP606, mi268, T27F6R, and 124I23T7. *TSO1* is closely linked to mi268 as shown by zero recombinant. The YAC clone CIC7B12 and the BAC clones T18B19 and T27F6 are shown as open bars. Cosmid clones are represented by solid lines. Each cosmid is about 20 kb in insert size. Only the most relevant cosmids are shown. Cosmids #56 and #8 completely rescued the *tso1-1* mutant phenotype, while cosmid #36 partially rescued the *tso1-1* mutant phenotype (Fig. 1H). Cosmid #36 starts at the first intron of *TSO1* and likely utilizes the second transcription initiation site or transcription read-though from vector sequences. Three genes are present in cosmid #56 as indicated by lines with arrows. The arrow points to the direction of transcription.

| 1 82 | ACACTGTTTCGCACCGGCGCGGGGGACAAAGTTCTTTTTGTTCGAAATCCACAACAACCACTAGATTATAAACAAAATAAACCAG TGACTGCATAGAGGCAATGTAGATGTGGATTTTTGCTGGAGAATGGACAAATCCCAGAAGAATCCTACTTCCCAGATCGGAA M D K S Q K N P T S Q I G |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 162 | CTTCAACTCCCAAATCCAAATTCGAGGATTCTCCAGTGTTCAACTACATAAGCAACCTCTCTCCAATTGAGTCGGTCAAATT S T P K S K F E D S P V F N Y I S N L S P I E S V K |
| 246 | CTATCTCCACTGCTCAAACGTTTAGCTCTCTTAGTTTCACATCTCCTCCTCCTGTTTTTACTTCTCCTCACGTCATTTCTC S I S T A Q T F S S L S F T S P P P V F T S P H V I S |
| 328 | ACAGAGAATCCAGATTCTTCAGATGTCATAACTCTGTTGATCGTTCAAAGCACTTAGAATCTTTAGATGGATCTGCTGTTG H R E S R F F R C H N S V D R S K H L E S L D G S A V |
| 410 | AAGGAGAAGTTGTAGTACCATTAGTTGAAGATCTAAATAAA |
| 492 | CGTCTTCTGAGCTGCCACAGATCTTGAAGTTCGATAGCCAAACTTCTGAGCATAGTGATTCACCTTGCACTGAAGATGTTG T S S E L P Q I L K F D S Q T S E H S D S P C T E D V |
| 574 | TTATTGAAGCTTCATCTGATCCTCCTCGAGGAGACAATGGTTCGTCATCCGAGGATGTCACGATGGGACTTCAGAATATGC V I E A S S D P P R G D N G S S S E D V T \underline{M} G L Q N M |
| 656 | TTGTTGTTCGGGAAGGGAATGACACTCCTGGTTGTGGACGTTTGATCTCAGATGCAACTGAGCTGTTAGTATTTCGATCTC L V V R E G N D T P G C G R L I S D A T E L L V F R S |
| 738 | CGAATGATTCTGAGGCTTTTAGATGCTTGGTCGATAAAATATCAAGCTCAGAAAGACGGTTCTGCGCTGGTGTCAAGTCTA P N D S E A F R C L V D K I S S S E R R F C A G V K S |
| 820 | CAAAGCGGCCTGATATCAACAAAGATATTCCAGCCAATGGATCTAGTAATGAAAATCAGCCTTTGGCTGTGCTTCCTACAA T K R P D I N K D I P A N G S S N E N Q P L A V L P T |
| 902 | $ \begin{array}{cccc} \textbf{V} \\ \textbf{ACGAGTCTGTCTTTAACTTGCATCGTGGTGGCATGCGAAGACGCTGCCTTGACTTTGAGATGCCAGGGAAACGGAAGAAGG \\ \textbf{N} & \textbf{E} & \textbf{S} & \textbf{V} & \textbf{F} & \textbf{N} & \textbf{L} & \textbf{H} & \textbf{R} & \textbf{G} & \textbf{G} & \textbf{M} & \underline{\textbf{R}} & \textbf{R} & \textbf{C} & \textbf{L} & \textbf{D} & \textbf{F} & \textbf{E} & \textbf{M} & \textbf{P} & \textbf{G} & \textbf{K} & $ |
| 984 | ATATTGTTGATGATCAGCAATCTGTGTGTGACAATAATGTGGCTGGTGAATCTTCCTCGAGCTGTGTGTG |
| 1066 | GTCTTCATCTAAACGCCGTTGCAATGTCTGCAAAGGACAGTAACATCAGTGTCATACATGGCTATTCCATATCTGGAGAGAGA |
| 1148 | TTCAAAAGAGTTTCTCAGGCTCTACCACTCCAATTCAGTCCCAAGACACTGTGCAAGAAACTTCGGACCAGGCAGAAAACG I Q K S F S G S T T P I Q S Q D T V Q E T S D Q A E N |
| 1230 | AACCTGTAGAAGAAGTTCCCAAAGCATTGGTGTTCCCAGAGTTGAATCTAGGCAGCCTTAAGAAAAAGATGCGTAAATCTG E P V E E V P K A L V F P E L N L G S L K K K M R K S A(tsol-2)C>V |
| 1312 | AACAAGCTGGGGAGGGTGAGTCATGTAAACGAT G CAACTGCAAAAAGTCTAAGTGTTTGAAGCTTTACTGTGAATGCTTTG E Q A G E G E S C <u>K R C N C K K S K C L K L Y C E C F</u> |
| 1394 | CTGCTGGGGTTTATTGCATAGAGCCATGTTCATGTATAGATTGCTTCAACAAACCTATCCATGAAGAAACTGTTTTGGCTA $\underline{A} \underline{A} \underline{G} \underline{V} \underline{V} \underline{C} \underline{I} \underline{E} \underline{P} \underline{C} \underline{S} \underline{C} \underline{I} \underline{D} \underline{C} \underline{F} \underline{N} \underline{K} \underline{P} \underline{I} \underline{H} \underline{E} \underline{E} \underline{V} \underline{L} \underline{A} \underline{T}(\pm c_1 - 3) \\ \underline{T}(\pm c_1 - 3) \\ \underline{F}(\pm c_1 - 3)$ |
| 1476 | CCCGCAAACAGATTGAATCCCGAAATCCACTTGCATTTGCTCCTAAAGTCATCAGAAATGCAGATTCCATCATGGAAGCTA T R K Q I E S R N P L A F A P K V I R N A D S I M E A |
| 1558 | $ \begin{array}{c} \checkmark \\ \texttt{GTGATGATGCCAGTAAAACCCCGGCTTCTGCACGACACAAACGTGGCTGCAACTGCAAGAAATCAAACTGTATGAAGAAAT } \\ \texttt{S} \ \texttt{D} \ \texttt{D} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{S} \ \texttt{K} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{P} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{S} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{H} \ \texttt{K} \ \underline{\texttt{R}} \ \underline{\texttt{G}} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{N} \ \underline{\texttt{C}} \ \texttt{K} \ \underline{\texttt{S}} \ \texttt{N} \ \underline{\texttt{C}} \ \texttt{M} \ \underline{\texttt{K}} \ \underline{\texttt{K}} \\ \underline{\texttt{A}}(\texttt{tsol}=\texttt{1}) \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{N} \end{array} $ |
| 1640 | ACCGTGATGCTATCAGGGTGGAGTCGGCTGTTCCATGAACTGTAGATGCGAAGGATGCACAAATGTATTCGGCAGAAAAG $Y \ C \ E \ C \ Y \ Q \ G \ G \ V \ G \ C \ S \ M \ N \ C \ R \ C \ E \ G \ C \ T \ N \ V \ F \ G \ R \ K$ |
| 1722 | $ \begin{array}{c} \blacktriangledown \\ AT \\ G \\ G \\ G \\ S \\ L \\ V \\ I \\ M \\ E \\ S \\ K \\ L \\ E \\ E \\ N \\ Q \\ E \\ T \\ Y \\ E \\ K \\ R \\ I \\ A \\ K \\ I \\ Q \\ C \\ A \\ A$ |
| 1804 | ACAACGTCGAGGTGTCGAAAGAAGTGGAGCAGAACCCAAGTTCTGATCAACCTTCGACACCACTGCCACCGTACAGACATT H N V E V S K E V E Q N P S S D Q P S T P L P P Y R H |
| 1886 | TGGTGGTTCATCAGCCATTCTTGTCTAAGAACAGACTGCCTCCGACACAGTTTTTTCTTGGCACAGGTTCTTCCTCTTTCA L V V H Q P F L S K N R L P P T Q F F L G T G S S S F |
| 1968 | GAAAACCAAACAGTGATTTGGCGCAATCACAGAATGAGAAGAAGCACTCTTGAAACTGTGACTGAGGACAAAACAGAGATTA R K P N S D L A Q S Q N E K K P L E T V T E D K T E I |
| 2050 | TGCCTGAGATTCTCCTCAATTCCCCTATAGCTAACATCAAGGCCATCTCTCCCCAACAGCAAGAGAGTCTCTCCCCCTCAAC M P E I L L N S P I A N I K A I S P N S K R V S P P Q |
| 2132 | CCGGCTCCTCGGAGTCAGGCTCAATCCTAAGGAGAAGAGGTAATGGCCGGAAGCTGATACTACGGTCTATTCCAGCATTTC P G S S E S G S I L R R R G N G R K L I L R S I P A F |
| 2214 | CTTCTCTCAACCCAAATCAGTGAATCAAAAACAATTTGGTTAAGCTATGGAAAAAATTCTTTGTATCTTTGTAATGTTCCAG P S L N P N Q \bullet |

Fig. 3. Sequence of TSO1 cDNA and the deduced amino acids. Intron positions are indicated by arrowheads. A nuclear localization signal is doubly underlined. The TCR repeats are underlined. Base pair changes found in all three *tso1* alleles are indicated above the wild-type sequence. The second transcription initiation site for the 2.0 kb transcript is indicated by an angled arrow in the third exon. The second methionine is underlined and may serve as the translation initiation codon for the 2.0 kb transcript. The TSO1 cDNA sequence has been submitted to GenBank (accession number, AF206324).

| (A) TCR Repeat 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----|---|---|------------|-----|-----|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|---|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | TSO1 | 401 | K | R | <u>C</u>] | NC | сĸ | K | S | к | CI | . K | ΚL | Y | С | Е | С | F | A | A | G٧ | VУ | C | Ι | E | PC | c s | С | Ι | D | С | F ! | N | | | | |
| | TSO-LIKE | 359 | L | Q | E | LN | ιL | S | S | P | ĸ | K | K S | Y | С | Е | С | F | A | A | G٧ | Υ | C | Ι | Е | PC | c s | С | Ι | D | С | F ! | N | | | | |
| | AC009465 | 415 | G | Е | C | F_D | Q | L | М | A | M | ΞN | I R | Υ | С | Е | С | F | S | A | GΙ | LF | C | G | Е | PC | c s | С | Q | N | С | F J | N | | | | |
| | CPP1 | 474 | K | R | C | N C | K | Κ | S | ĸ | CI | K | κι | Y | С | D | С | F | A | A | G 1 | ΓY | C | Т | D | PC | C A | С | Q | G | С | L | N | | | | |
| | PTF | 132 | K | Q | C | N C | K | Н | S | R | CI | K | κι | Y | С | Е | С | F | A | S | G 1 | ΓY | C | D | G | - 0 | C N | C | v | N | С | F ! | N | | | | |
| | AC006081 | 119 | K | Q | C | N C | K | Н | S | R | CI | K | κι | Y | С | Е | С | F | A | S | G 1 | ΓY | C | D | G | - (| C N | C | v | N | С | ΓI | N | | | | |
| (B) | (B) TCR Repeat 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TSO1 | 487 | R | G | C | NC | к | К | S | N | CN | 1 K | ск | ΧY | С | Е | С | Y | 0 | G | G١ | vo | ЪС | s | м | N | R | С | Е | G | С | ΤĽ | N (| X)5 | D (| 3 S | L |
| | TSO1-LIKE | 445 | R | G | C | N C | к | K | s | N | CI | . K | K K | ΧY | С | Е | С | Y | Q | G | G٧ | vo | GC | s | I | N | R | С | Е | G | С | K ! | N (| X)5 | D (| 3 S | s |
| | AC009465 | 501 | R | G | C | N C | R | K | S | G | C S | S K | КК | ΧY | С | Е | С | F | M | М | G٧ | v | G C | s | S | N | R | С | М | G | С | K ! | N (| X)5 | NF | ΞÇ | Q C |
| | CPP1 | 560 | R | G | C | N C | K | R | S | М | CI | ĸ | КК | ΧY | С | Е | С | Y | Q | A | N V | vo | G C | s | S | G | R | С | Е | G | С | K ! | N (| X)5 | ΕГ |) Y | ν v |
| | PTF | 217 | K | G | C | нс | K | K | S | G | CI | ĸ | КК | ΧY | С | Е | С | F | Q | A | N | ΙL | C | s | Е | N | C K | C | L | D | С | K ! | N (| X)₅ | ΕF | łÇ |) A |
| | AC006081 | 204 | K | G | C | нC | K | K | S | G | CI | L K | K | Υ | С | E | С | F | Q | A | NI | IL | C | s | Е | N (| CK | C | L | D | С | ΚJ | N (| X)5 | VF | ۲Ç | 2 S |
| (C) A Portion of the CXC Domain in E(z) Family | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CLF-LIKE | 687 | R | G | C | нС | A | K | S | Q | CF | e s | S R | R Q | С | Р | С | F | A | A | GI | RE | C | D | Р | D١ | /_ | С | R | Ν | С | W | V (| X)3 | D (| 3 S | L |
| | EZA1 | 645 | R | G | C | нC | A | K | S | Q | CF | e s | S R | R Q | С | Р | С | F | A | A | GΗ | R E | C | D | Р | D١ | / _ | С | R | N | С | W | V (| X) 3 | D (| 3 S | L |
| | POLYC-G | 689 | R | G | C | нC | A | K | S | Q | CF | e s | S R | R Q | С | Р | С | F | A | A | DI | RE | C | D | Р | D١ | /_ | С | R | N | С | W | V (| X)3 | D (| 3 S | L |
| | CLF | 689 | R | G | C | нC | A | K | S | Q | CF | e s | S R | R Q | С | Р | С | F | A | A | DI | RE | C | D | Р | D١ | /_ | С | R | N | С | W | V (| X)3 | D C | 3 S | L |
| | MEA | 484 | G | G | C | N C | A | I | G | Q | сı | N | I R | R Q | С | Р | С | F | A | A | NI | RE | C | D | Р | DI | | С | R | s | С | Р | L (| X)3 | D (| ΞI | L |
| | E (Z) | 573 | Р | G | C | R C | 2 _ | Κ | А | Q | CN | I I | ГК | C Q | С | Р | С | Y | L | A | VI | RE | C | D | Р | DI | | С | Q | А | C | G | A (| X)5 | Τŀ | ί | Т |

Fig. 4. Sequence alignment in the TCR motif. Two classes of genes share sequence similarity in the TCR motif. The first class contains two copies of the TCR motif presented in A and B respectively. The second class is represented by the *Drosophila* E(z) and its plant homologs shown in C. Conserved cysteine residues are boxed. (A) Alignment of the first TCR repeat in TSO1, TSO1-LIKE (Z97337), hypothetical protein AC009465, Cysteine-rich Polycomb-like Protein (CPP1) (AJ010165), Putative Transcription Factor (PTF) (AL078470), and the hypothetical protein AC006081. The bold and underlined <u>C</u> is mutated in *tso1-2*. (B) The second TCR motif in the same group of proteins listed in A. The bold and underlined <u>C</u> is mutated in *tso1-1*. TSO1 possesses the **DGSL** following the TCR repeat 2. (C) A portion of the CXC domain of *Drosophila* E(z) (Jones and Gelbart, 1993) and its *Arabidopsis* homologs: CLF-Like (AF001308), EZA1 (AF100163), Polycomb-Group (POLYC-G) (Y10580), CURLY LEAF (CLF; Goodrich et al., 1997), and MEDEA (MEA; Grossniklaus et al., 1998; Kiyosue et al., 1999). The bold and underlined <u>C</u> is mutated in E(z)⁶¹. With the exception of E(z), the last four amino acids **DGSL** are found in all members of this class. TSO1 is the only protein in the first class that possesses this **DGSL** (B).

known. *CLF* encodes a transcriptional repressor of floral homeotic gene *AGAMOUS* (Goodrich et al., 1997), and *MEA* functions as a suppressor of endosperm development (Kiyosue et al., 1999). Of particular interest is the presence of 4 amino acids 'DGSL' following the TCR repeat 2 of TSO1 (Fig. 4B). This DGSL is also found at similar positions in CLF-LIKE,



EZA1, POLYC-G, CLF and MEA (Fig. 4C). Obviously, the similarity between TSO1 and genes of E(z) class is only limited to the TCR/CXC motif.

As illustrated in Fig. 4, the position and the spacing of the cysteine residues are highly conserved, indicating the importance of these cysteine residues. The *tsol-1*, *tsol-2* and $E(z)^{61}$ mutations all possess an amino acid substitution, replacing one of these highly conserved cysteine residue with a tyrosine residue (Fig. 4; Carrington and Jones, 1996). The strong defects associated with mutational substitutions of

Fig. 5. *TSO1* mRNA expression in different tissues. (A) Northern analyses of mRNAs isolated from inflorescences of wild-type and three *tso1* mutants. Two *TSO1* transcripts were detected with a gene-specific *TSO1* probe (see Materials and Methods). Based on size markers, the top band is estimated at 2.4 kb and the lower band is estimated at 2.0 kb. These two bands are likely due to transcriptional initiation from two different sites (Fig. 3). Equal amount of poly (A)⁺ RNA was loaded in each lane as shown by the amount of actin transcript. (B) Northern analyses of *TSO1* mRNA isolated from wild-type roots (RT), rosette leaves (RL), cauline leaves (CL), stems (ST), seedlings (SD) and inflorescences (FL). The same gene-specific *TSO1* probe was used. Specific stages of these tissues are described in Materials and Methods. The 18S RNA band serves as a loading control.



Fig. 6. TSO1 mRNA is expressed in actively dividing cells. 8 µm longitudinal sections of wild-type Arabidopsis inflorescence apices were hybridized with a DIG-labeled, gene-specific TSO1 antisense RNA probe (see Materials and Methods). Numbers indicate the stage of flowers, according to Smyth et al. (1990). (A) A wild-type inflorescence meristem flanked by a stage 2 and a stage 3 floral meristem. TSO1 mRNA was detected in most cells of the stage 2 floral meristem with the exception of epidermal cells (L1 cells). In the stage 3 flower, TSO1 expression was stronger in the center of the flower, the developing sepals exhibited a reduced level of TSO1 mRNA. In the inflorescence meristem, TSO1 mRNA was barely detectable in the L1 layer and was also absent from a few L2 layer cells in the center. (B) Three flowers at different stages of development. TSO1 mRNA was expressed in the entire floral meristem of an early stage 3 flower. The stage 4 flower on the right strongly expressed TSO1 in the center, TSO1 mRNA was diminishing from the abaxial side of the sepals, TSO1 was expressed in the developing stamens and carpels and the adaxial surface of sepals in the stage 5 flower. Petals are not seen at these stages. (C) In a stage 7 flower, TSO1 mRNA was not detectable in sepals (se), but was expressed at a high level in the stamen and carpel primordia. In the stage 8 flower, TSO1 mRNA in carpels was largely restricted to the placental region (arrowheads). The expression of TSO1 in petals (p) was evident. (D) TSO1 mRNA was detected in developing petals (p) of a maturing (stage 10) flower. TSO1 was highly expressed in the single layer of tapetum cells (arrowheads) of the anther. The tapetum cell layer encloses and provides nutrition for developing pollen. These developing pollen (microspores) appeared to express TSO1 as well. (E) Developing ovules within the gynoecium of a mid-stage 12 flower. TSO1 was highly expressed in the inner and outer integuments and in the funiculus. TSO1 mRNA was also detected in the 4-nucleate haploid embryo sac (arrowheads). TSO1 mRNA was not detected in the carpel walls. (F)TSO1 RNA was detected in the provascular tissues adjacent to the xylem (arrow). Three arrowheads indicate xylem.

cysteine residues in *tso1-1*, *tso1-2* and $E(z)^{61}$ indicate that the TCR motif encodes an important functional domain.

Two TSO1 transcripts are expressed in different tissues

Two *TSO1* transcripts of different sizes were detected by 5' RACE (See Materials and Methods) and by northern analyses (Fig. 5). Sequence analyses of 5' RACE PCR products revealed that the two *TSO1* transcripts result from different transcriptional initiation sites spaced 400 bp apart (Fig. 3). The longer *TSO1* transcript encodes a putative protein of 695 amino acids, while the shorter transcript initiates from the third exon and encodes a putative protein of 526 amino acids (Fig. 3). In northern analyses (Fig. 5A), the two transcripts were detected by a gene-specific *TSO1* probe (see Materials and Methods). One transcript is estimated at 2.4 kb while the other is at 2.0 kb. Only the 2.4 kb transcript was detected with a 5' *TSO1* probe (data not shown).

TSO1 mRNA expression in floral tissue was examined in wild-type and all three *tso1* mutants. *TSO1* mRNA is not expressed at a high level in flowers and can only be detected in RNA blots using $poly(A)^+$ RNA. Both *TSO1* transcripts in *tso1-3* mutants were at a reduced level (40% of the wild-type level) (Fig. 5A). This reduction suggests that the premature

translational termination caused by the *tso1-3* mutation may render its mRNA unstable. In *tso1-1* and *tso1-2* mutants, the



Fig. 7. Nuclear localization of TSO1-GFP. (A-C) Dark-field images; (D-F) Corresponding phase-contrast microscopic images. Arrows mark the location of nucleus. (A,D) An onion epidermal cell transiently expressing the full length TSO1 (695 amino acids) fused to the NH₂ terminus of GFP. TSO1-GFP was only detected in the nucleus. (B,E) An onion epidermal cell transiently expressing a smaller TSO1 protein (526 amino acids) fused to the COOH terminus of GFP. Similarly, this GFP-TSO1 was only detected in the nucleus. (C,F) An onion epidermal cell transiently expressing the GFP protein. GFP was found in both cytoplasm and nucleus.

2.4 kb transcript was expressed at a level similar to that of wildtype. However, the 2.0 kb transcript appeared more abundant in these two mutants than in wild-type (Fig. 5A). Densitometry analyses revealed that, in wild-type, the 2.0 kb transcript was only half (50%) the amount of the 2.4 kb transcript, but in *tso1-1* and *tso1-2* mutants, the 2.0 kb transcript was 130% and 83% the amount of the 2.4 kb transcript respectively. The increased level of the 2.0 kb transcript in *tso1-1* and *tso1-2* mutants (Fig. 5A) might result from the absence of the inner three whorls of floral tissues in the mutant flowers where the 2.4 kb transcript might be better represented. Alternatively, the increased level of the 2.0 kb transcript in *tso1-1* and *tso1-2* mutants could reflect an autoregulatory role of *TSO1*.

Since the flower- and inflorescence-specific phenotype of *tso1* might result from tissue-specific transcriptional regulation, *TSO1* mRNA expression was examined in different tissues. The 2.0 kb *TSO1* mRNA was detected in all tissues examined (Fig. 5B) including 2-week old roots, 7-day old seedlings, mature cauline leaves, rosette leaves, stems, and flowers (in a inflorescence). Interestingly, in rosette leaves, cauline leaves and stems, this 2.0 kb transcript was expressed at a much higher level than in roots, seedlings and the flowers. In contrast, the 2.4 kb *TSO1* mRNA was only detected in roots, seedlings and flowers and was not detected in the leaves and stems (Fig. 5B). Thus, the two *TSO1* transcripts are under different regulation in different tissues.

TSO1 mRNA is abundant in actively dividing cells in flowers

A *TSO1* gene-specific probe that detects both transcripts was used to examine *TSO1* mRNA distribution by in situ hybridization. *TSO1* expression was weakly detected in the inflorescence meristem in an interesting pattern (Fig. 6A). First, it appeared absent from the epidermal (L1) layer of the inflorescence meristem. This absence of *TSO1* mRNA in epidermis appeared to extend to the peripheral zone and even stage 1 floral meristems. Second, *TSO1* mRNA was absent in a small number of cells (about 2-3 cells) in the L2 layer within the central zone but was present in the L2 layer in the peripheral zone. This absent or reduced *TSO1* mRNA expression appeared to coincide with the upper half of the central zone in inflorescence meristems.

In flowers, TSO1 mRNA was expressed in a dynamic pattern. At stage 1 and stage 2, with the exception of the epidermis, TSO1 mRNA was detected in the entire floral meristem (Fig. 6A). At late stage 3 and onward, TSO1 mRNA was restricted to the center of the flower and the expression subsided from sepal primordia initially from the abaxial side (Fig. 6A-C). Later, TSO1 mRNA was detected in developing petals, stamens and carpels (Fig. 6B-D). Thus, it appears that TSO1 is expressed in young floral meristems or developing floral organs when cells are actively dividing. When floral organ growth was completed, TSO1 mRNA was detected only in male and female reproductive tissues. Specifically, a high level of TSO1 mRNA was detected in the locules of anthers during microsporogenesis, in the tapetum and the microspores (Fig. 6D). TSO1 mRNA was also present in developing ovules during megasporogenesis: early in the entire ovule primordia (data not shown) and later in funiculus, integuments, and the embryo sac (Fig. 6E). Thus TSO1 may function in both diploid and haploid cells undergoing active cell division. Consistent with our northern results, *TSO1* mRNA was detected in the stem, in what appeared to be vascular cambium and procambium cells of the stem (Fig. 6F).

TSO1-GFP fusion proteins localize to the nucleus

We examined the subcellular location of the TSO1 protein using a Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) tag. Two types of TSO1-GFP fusion proteins were made (see Materials and Methods). First, full length TSO1 protein was fused in-frame to the NH₂ terminus of GFP. Second, a partial TSO1 protein (from the second methionine to stop; Fig. 3) was fused inframe to the COOH terminus of GFP. This smaller TSO1 protein is a putative translational product of the 2.0 kb TSO1 transcript. Vector pAVA120 (von Arnim et al., 1998) was used in both of these constructs, in which the GFP-TSO1 chimeric genes are under the transcriptional control of the CaMV 35S promoter. These GFP-TSO1 constructs were transiently transformed into the onion epidermal cells by particle bombardment (Sanford et al., 1993). In all cases, the green fluorescent TSO1-GFP proteins were detected in the nucleus only (Fig. 7A,B,D,E), while the control construct of GFP alone was detected in cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. 7C,F). This nuclear localization of TSO1-GFP is consistent with a role of TSO1 in transcriptional regulation.

DISCUSSION

TSO1 encodes a protein with cys-rich repeats implicated for DNA binding

We show that TSO1 encodes a novel protein with two cys-rich repeats (or TCR repeats). Several pieces of evidence indicate the importance of this TCR motif for TSO1 function. First, the TCR motif is highly conserved in two classes of genes (Fig. 4). Second, mutations that substitute a tyrosine for a conserved cysteine in the TCR motif are known to cause severe mutant phenotypes. The strong *tso1-1* and *tso1-2* alleles both result from such a substitution in the conserved cysteine residues (Fig. 4). The temperature-sensitive Drosophila $E(z)^{61}$ mutation is also caused by a substitution of a tyrosine residue for a cysteine residue at amino acid 603 of the cys-rich domain (Fig. 4C; Carrington and Jones, 1996). The E(z) protein is part of the polycomb-group complex which interacts with DNA sequences (polycomb response element, PRE) that are scattered throughout the genome (reviewed by Pirrotta, 1998). These interactions can silence the expression of genes containing the PRE as well as nearby genes. At the restrictive temperature, virtually all chromosome binding by this mutant $E(z)^{61}$ protein is eliminated (Carrington and Jones, 1996). Thus, the TCR motif may be required for the interaction with DNA. Third, the two TCR repeats and the sequence connecting them are highly conserved between TSO1 and the soybean CPP1, a negative regulator of the LEGHEMOGLOBIN gene expression (E. Ø. Jensen, personal communication). LEGHEMOGLOBINS are nodule-specific proteins involved in buffering the oxygen concentrations in nodules for nitrogen fixation (Bojsen et al., 1983). A single TCR motif from CPP1 is sufficient for low-affinity DNA binding in vitro, but both TCR repeats are required for high-level binding (E. Ø. Jensen, personal communication). The high level of similarity between TSO1 and CPP1 in the TCR repeats and in the intervening region suggests that TSO1 could bind DNA via its TCR repeats.

We found that tso1-3 causes early termination of the TSO1 protein, deleting one of the two TCR repeats. As the tsol-3 phenotype is significantly weaker than that of *tso1-1* and *tso1-*2, it is unclear why removal of one of the two TCR repeats is less detrimental than a single cysteine substitution. The recessive nature of both tso1-1 and tso1-2 alleles argue against the possibility that the two strong alleles are dominant-negative or gain-of-function alleles. The intermediate phenotype of tso1-1/tso1-3 heteroallelic mutants (Hauser et al., 1998) also suggests that both the strong tsol-1 and the weak tsol-3 mutants lose TSO1 activity to different degrees. Weak mutant phenotypes caused by more severe molecular lesions have been previously found in clv1-6 (Clark et al., 1997) and sup-5 mutants (Gaiser et al., 1995; Sakai et al., 1995). In this case, a cysteine to tyrosine substitution could perhaps affect the overall conformation of the TSO1 protein, or interfere with the function of both TCR repeats via intramolecular interactions, while a truncated TSO1-3 protein could still function partially via its one TCR motif.

Tissue-specific defects of tso1 mutants

Previous studies indicated that the defects exhibited by strong tso1 mutants were largely restricted to flowers and inflorescence meristems (Liu et al., 1997). Examination of roots, vegetative meristems, and leaves failed to reveal any obvious defects. Several hypotheses may explain this largely tissue-specific effect of tso1 mutations. These hypotheses are not mutually exclusive. First, different tissues or organs may have different requirements for the TSO1 activity and thus may exhibit different degrees of sensitivity to the loss or reduction of TSO1. The ovules may be the most sensitive organs, and the floral tissues may be more sensitive than the vegetative tissues. This hypothesis is consistent with our detection of a higher TSO1 mRNA level in ovules (Fig. 6E). Second, based on the characterized molecular lesions, none of the *tso1* alleles we have isolated appears to be a complete lossof-function (null) allele. Thus, defects in other tissue types or embryonic lethality may not be revealed. Third, the function of TSO1 may be redundant in other non-floral tissues (for example, roots and young seedlings), and the redundant factor is more or is solely active in these non-floral tissues. The Arabidopsis TSO1-like gene could be a candidate gene coding for the redundant factor due to its high level of sequence similarity to TSO1. Finally, a tissue-specific post-translational regulatory mechanism or alternative transcriptional regulation may underlie the tissue-specific effect of tsol.

In our current study, two *TSO1* transcripts were detected that apparently result from differential transcriptional initiation. However, these two transcripts are regulated differently in different tissues. Both transcripts are weakly expressed in roots, seedlings, and flowers (tissues with high levels of cell division activity). However, only the short transcript is expressed in leaves and stems. Such differential transcriptional regulation may be mediated by specific trans-acting factors present in different tissues. Although protein products from both of these TSO1 transcripts are targeted to the nucleus (Fig. 7), it remains to be seen if the two transcripts are functionally distinct and if this differential expression contributes to the largely floral and inflorescence-specific defects of strong *tso1* mutants.

The role of TSO1 in floral cell division

Our previous analyses showed that *tso1-1* floral meristem cells had incomplete cell walls, increased DNA contents, and multinucleated cells. Based on these defects, it was originally speculated that TSO1 was directly involved in mitosis, cytokinesis and/or in the coordination between mitosis and cytokinesis. While genes with similar subcellular defects (such as GNOM/EMB30 and KN) encode components of cell secretion machinery (Shevell et al., 1994; Lukowitz et al., 1996), our molecular isolation and analyses of the TSO1 gene suggest that TSO1 may encode a nuclear protein that may interact with DNA or chromatin and may play a regulatory rather than a structural role. Furthermore, the observation that TSO1 mRNA is present in actively dividing cells in developing floral organs supports a role of TSO1 in promoting cell division, probably by regulating the transcription of genes needed for cell division. The more highly expressed level of TSO1 mRNA in the primordia of petals, stamen and carpels in a flower is consistent with the phenotype of strong *tsol* mutant flowers, where these organs fail to develop (Liu et al., 1997).

In higher plants, meristem organization and cell division regulation are two fundamentally important and intimately related biological processes. tsol is unique in that it affects both of these processes. The effect of *tsol* mutations on cell division in floral meristem appears drastically different from the effect of *tso1* mutations on meristem fasciation. For one, the number of cells formed in each floral meristem is decreased in *tso1-1* (Liu et al., 1997), while the inflorescence meristem fasciation in strong tso1 alleles causes an increased number of inflorescence meristems and thus an increase in the total number of cells. For another, the defect in the floral meristem appears to reside in the basic cell division processes while the defect in the inflorescence meristem resides in the regulation of meristem organization and partition. These seemingly different defects may be due to differences in the two types of meristems. The floral meristem is a determinate meristem that is fated for floral organ differentiation while the inflorescence meristem is an indeterminate meristem programmed for selfregeneration. Hence, TSO1 may regulate several downstream genes some of which may function in floral meristems while others may function in inflorescence meristems. Alternatively, these two meristems may manifest the same basic molecular defect differently in different developmental context.

The role of *TSO1* in inflorescence meristem development

When we further characterized the defects of *tso1* mutants in inflorescence meristem fasciation, we found that, instead of a simple enlargement of the inflorescence meristem, fasciation in *tso1* mutants results from repeated division of one inflorescence meristem into two or more inflorescence meristems. This is in contrast to *clv* mutants whose fasciation results from a single large shoot apical meristem (SAM) with more undifferentiated cells (Clark, et al., 1993, 1995; Kayes and Clark, 1998). The fasciated SAM in *clv* mutants grows into a massive line with floral meristems developing along the sides of the apical meristem line. Hence, although both *clv* and strong *tso1* mutants exhibit fasciated inflorescence meristem, their different aspects of inflorescence meristem development. *CLV* may regulate the size of the central zone by

regulating the rate of cell proliferation and cell differentiation. In contrast, TSO1 may regulate the number of central zones in each inflorescence. TSO1 may normally act to limit central zone initiation either by repressing the expression of genes that normally activate central zone formation or by mediating communications between the central zone and its neighbors to prevent additional central zone initiation. For example, in wildtype, the absence or reduction of TSO1 transcripts in the L1 and L2 layers of the central zone (Fig. 6A) may allow such a central zone to form; while the presence of TSO1 transcripts in the peripheral zone prevents the initiation of additional central zones. In strong *tsol* alleles, the loss or reduction of *TSO1* activity in the entire inflorescence meristem may allow spontaneous activation of additional central zones. Each new central zone may act as an organizing center and re-establish a peripheral zone that surrounds it.

In summary, the molecular isolation and expression of TSO1 together with analyses of *tsol* mutant phenotype permit us to establish models on how TSO1 may function to regulate Arabidopsis reproductive development. TSO1 encodes a putative transcriptional regulator that activates or represses the expression of genes with functions in cell division and inflorescence meristem organization. In wild-type, the absence or reduction of TSO1 expression in the L1 and L2 layers of a central zone may allow the formation of a single central zone and hence a single inflorescence meristem. In strong tsol lossof-function mutants, the absence of TSO1 activity in the entire inflorescence meristem may allow the initiation of multiple central zones and thus multiple inflorescence meristems. Our molecular genetic studies have thus established TSO1 as a critical regulatory protein for meristem development, and indicated that TSO1 regulates a different and important aspect of inflorescence meristem development distinct from the CLV class of meristem regulators.

We thank Jonathan Jones, Albrecht von Arnim, Arabidopsis Information Services (AIMS) at Ohio State University for proving vectors and clones, Joshua Levin for *tso1-2* seeds, Bernard Hauser and Charles Gasser for *tso1-3* seeds, and Erik Østergaard Jensen for sharing unpublished results of *CPP1*. We also thank Eric Baehrecke for the use of a Zeiss Axioplan2 microscope, Jonathan Arias for the use of the Biolistic Particle Delivery System, DNA sequencing facility at the Center for Agriculture Biotechnology for DNA sequencing, and Seth Glatstein and Stanislav Spivak for isolating recombinants. Finally, we thank Dr Jane Glazebrook for advice on cosmid library construction and Drs Eric Baehrecke, Caren Chang, Robert Franks and Steve Mount for critical readings of the manuscript. This work is supported by a US Department of Energy Grant 02-97ER20281 to Z. L.

REFERENCES

- Assaad, F. F., Mayer, U., Wanner, G. and Jürgens, G. (1996). The KEULE gene is involved in cytokinesis in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 253, 267-277.
- Bechtold, N., Ellis, J. and Pelletier, G. (1993). In planta Agrobacterium mediated gene transfer by infiltration of adult *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants. *C.R. Acad. Sci.* **316**, 1194-1199.
- Bell, C. J. and Ecker, J. R. (1994). Assignment of 30 microsatellite loci to the linkage map of Arabidopsis. *Genomics* 19, 137-144.
- Bent, A. F., Kunkel, B. N., Dahlbeck, D., Brown, K. L., Schmidt, R., Giraudat, J., Leung, J. and Staskawicz, B. J. (1994). *RPS2* of Arabidopsis thaliana: a leucine-rich repeat class of plant disease resistance genes. *Science* 265, 1856-1860.

- Bojsen, K., Abildsten, D., Jensen, E. Ø., Paludan, K. and Marcker, K. A. (1983). The chromosomal arrangement of six soybean leghemoglobin genes. *EMBO J.* **2**, 1165-1168.
- Bowman, J. L., Smyth, D. R. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1989). Genes directing flower development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 1, 37-52.
- Bowman, J. L., Smyth, D. R. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1991). Genetic interactions among floral homeotic genes of *Arabidopsis*. *Development* **112**, 1-20.
- Carrington, E. A. and Jones, R. S. (1996). The Drosophila Enhancer of zeste encodes a chromosomal protein: examination of wild-type and mutant protein distribution. *Development* 122, 4073-4083.
- Clark, S. E., Running, M. P. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1993). CLAVATA1, a regulator of meristem and floral development in Arabidopsis. Development 119, 397-418.
- Clark, S. E., Running, M. P. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1995). CLAVATA3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development affecting the same process as CLAVATA1. Development 121, 2057-2067.
- Clark, S. E., Jacobsen, S. E., Levin, J. Z. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1996). The CLAVATA and SHOOTMERISTEMLESS loci competitively regulate meristem activity in Arabidopsis. Development 122, 1567-1575.
- Clark, S. E., William, R. W. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1997). The CLAVATA1 gene encodes a putative receptor kinase that controls shoot and floral meristem size in Arabidopsis. Cell 89, 575-585.
- Clark, S. E. (1997). Organ formation at the vegetative shoot meristem. *Plant Cell* **9**, 1067-1076.
- Endrizzi, K., Moussian, B., Haecker, A., Levin, J. Z. and Laux, T. (1996). The *SHOOT MERISTEMLESS* gene is required for maintenance of undifferentiated cells in Arabidopsis shoot and floral meristems and acts at a different regulatory level than the meristem genes *WUSCHEL* and *ZWILLE. Plant J* 10, 967-979.
- Fletcher, J. C., Brand, U., Running, M., Simon, R. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1999). Signaling of cell fate decisions by *CLAVATA3* in Arabidopsis shoot meristems. *Science* 283, 1911-1914.
- Friedman, W. E. (1991). Double fertilization in Ephedra trifurca, a non-flowering seed plant: the relationship between fertilization events and the cell cycle. *Protoplastma* **165**, 106-120.
- Gaiser, J. C., Robinson-Beers, K. and Gasser, C. S. (1995). The Arabidopsis SUPERMAN gene mediates asymmetric growth of the outer integument of ovules. *Plant Cell* 7, 333-345.
- Goodrich, J., Puangsomlee, P., Martin, M., Long, D., Meyerowitz, E. M. and Coupland, G. (1997). A Polycomb-group gene regulates homeotic gene expression in Arabidopsis. *Nature* 386, 44-51.
- Grossniklaus, U., Vielle-Calzada, J. P., Hoeppner, M. A. and Gagliano, W. B. (1998). Maternal control of embryogenesis by *MEDEA*, a polycomb group gene in Arabidopsis. *Science* 280, 446-450.
- Hauser, B. A., Villanueva, J. M. and Gasser, C. S. (1998). Arabidopsis TSO1 regulates directional processes in cells during floral organogenesis. *Genetics* 150, 411-423.
- Jenuwein, T., Laible, G., Dorn, R. and Reuter, G. (1998). SET domain proteins modulate chromatin domains in eu- and heterochromatin. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 54, 80-93.
- Jeong, S., Trotochaud, A. E. and Clark, S. E. (1999). The Arabidopsis *CLAVATA2* gene encodes a receptor-like protein required for the stability of the *CLAVATA1* Receptor-like kinase. *Plant Cell* **11**, 1925-1933.
- Jones, R. S. and Gelbart, W. 3M. (1990). Genetic analysis of the *Enhancer* of zeste locus and its role in gene regulation in Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics* 126, 185-199.
- Jones, R. S. and Gelbart, W. M. (1993). The Drosophila Polycomb-group gene *Enhancer of zeste* contains a region with sequence similarity to *trithorax. Mol. Cell Biol.* **13**, 6357-6366.
- Jürgens, G., Torres Ruiz, R. A., Laux, T., Mayer, U. and Berleth, T. (1994). Early events in apical-basal pattern formation in Arabidopsis. In Plant Molecular Biology: Molecular-Genetic Analyses of Plant Dvelopment and Metabolism. (ed. G. Coruzzi and P. Puigdomenech), pp. 95-103. Springer, Berlin.
- Kayes, J. M. and Clark, S. E. (1998). CLAVATA2, a regulator of meristem and organ development in Arabidopsis. Development 125, 3843-3851.
- Kerstetter, R. A. and Hake, S. (1997). Shoot meristem formation in vegetative development. *Plant Cell* 9, 1001-1010.
- Kiyosue, T., Ohad, N., Yadegari, R., Hannon, M., Dinneny, J., Wells, D., Katz, A., Margossian, L., Harada, J. J., Goldberg, R. B. and Fischer, R. L. (1999). Control of fertilization-independent endosperm development by the *MEDEA* polycomb gene in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 4186-4191.

- Levin, J. Z., Fletcher, J. C., Chen, X. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1998). A genetic screen for modifiers of UFO meristem activity identifies three novel FUSED FLORAL ORGANS genes required for early flower development in Arabidopsis. Genetics 149, 579-595.
- Liu, Z. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1995). LEUNIG regulates AGAMOUS expression in 3flowers. Development 121, 975-991.
- Liu, Z., Running, M. P. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1997). TSO1 functions in cell division during Arabidopsis flower development. Development 124, 665-672.
- Long, J. A., Moan, E. I., Medford, J. and Barton, K. M. (1996). A member of the *KNOTTED* class of homeodomain proteins encoded by the *STM* gene of Arabidopsis. *Nature* **379**, 66-69.
- Lukowitz, W. Mayer, U. and Jürgens, G. (1996). Cytokinesis in the Arabidopsis embryo involves the syntaxin-related *KNOLLE* gene product. *Cell* **84**, 61-71.
- Mayer, U., Torres Ruiz, R. A., Berleth, T., Miséra, S. and Jürgens, G. (1991). Mutations affecting body organization in the Arabidopsis embryo. *Nature* **353**, 402-407.
- Meyerowitz, E. M. (1997). Genetic control of cell division patterns in developing plants. *Cell* 88, 299-308.
- Nickle T. C. and Meinke D. W. (1998). A cytokinesis-defective mutant of Arabidopsis (cyt1) characterized by embryonic lethality, incomplete cell walls, and excessive callose accumulation. *Plant J.* 15, 321-332
- Pirrotta, V. (1998). Polycombing the genome: PcG, trxG, and chromatin silencing. *Cell* 93, 333-336.
- Poethig, S. (1989). Genetic mosaics and cell lineage analysis in plants. *Trends Genet.* 5, 273-277.

- Sakai, H., Medrano, L. J. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1995). Role of SUPERMAN in maintaining Arabidopsis floral whorl boundaries. Nature 378, 199-203.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. and Maniatis, T. (1989). Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual. New York, USA: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sanford, J. C., Smith, F. D. and Russell, J. A. (1993). Optimizing the biolistic process for different biological applications. *Methods Enzymol*, 217, 483-509.
- Shevell, D. E., Leu, W.-M., Gilmor, C. S., Xia, G., Feldmann, K. A. and Chua, N.-H. (1994). *EMB30* is essential for normal cell division, cell expansion, and cell adhesion in Arabidopsis and encodes a protein that has similarity to *Sec7*. *Cell* **77**, 1051-1062.
- Smyth, D. R., Bowman, J. L. and Meyerowitz, E. M. (1990). Early flower development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 2, 755-767.
- Steeves, T. A., and Sussex, I. M. (1989). Patterns in Plant Development. New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Stein, J. C., Howlett, B., Boyes, D. C., Nasrallah, M. E. and Nasrallah, J. B. (1991). Molecular cloning of a putative receptor protein kinase gene encoded at the self-incompatibility locus of Brassica oleracea. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88, 8816-8820.
- Vollbrecht, E., Veit, B., Sinha, N. and Hake, S. (1991). The developmental gene *Knotted-1* is a member of a maize homeobox gene family. *Nature* 350, 241-243
- von Arnim, A. G., Deng, X. W. and Stacey, M. G. (1998). Cloning vectors for the expression of green fluorescent protein fusion proteins in transgenic plants. *Gene* 221, 35-43.